

MODIFIED ALVARADO SCORE IN DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE APPENDICITIS: A CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL STUDY

Chandrashekar S¹, Lokesh M G², Manoj G C³, Jyothi L⁴, Sagar G⁵, Mourya B Gowda L B⁶, Manjunatha M⁷

Received : 17/04/2025
Received in revised form : 03/06/2025
Accepted : 25/06/2025

Keywords:

Acute appendicitis, Modified Alvarado score, White appendectomy.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Manoj G C,
Email: gcmanoj2015@gmail.com

DOI: 10.47009/jamp.2025.7.4.127

Source of Support: Nil,
Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Acad Med Pharm
2025; 7 (4); 683-686



¹Professor, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

²Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

³Post Graduate Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

⁴Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

⁵Post Graduate Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

⁶Post Graduate Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

⁷Post Graduate Resident, Department of General Surgery, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest surgical emergencies in all ages. Diagnosis is mainly clinical, delay in diagnosis definitely increases the morbidity, mortality and cost of treatment, more aggressive surgical approach has resulted in increased white appendectomies. **Materials and Methods:** A total 100 cases hospitalized with abdominal pain, suggestive of acute appendicitis on the basis of modified Alvarado scoring system and were subsequently operated, were included in the present study in our institute for period of 12 Months. **Result:** Males belonging to young age group of 21-30 were most commonly affected. Abdominal pain was seen in 100% of patients. Fever seen in 87% of patients and vomiting in 78%. Modified Alvarado score of 9 had positive predictive value of 100% while negative predictive value 8.9%, while score between 7-8 had positive predictive value of 98.9% and negative predictive value 27.8%. The sensitivity was 86.1% and specificity was 83.3%. The ultrasonography showed a sensitivity of 94.68%. In present study rate of total white appendectomy was 6%. The Modified Alvarado scoring system is a reliable and practicable diagnostic modality to increase the accuracy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and thus to minimize unnecessary appendectomy. **Conclusions:** Young males are most commonly affected almost always presents with abdominal pain. The Modified Alvarado scoring system is a reliable and practicable diagnostic modality to increase the accuracy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and thus minimize unnecessary appendectomy.

INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest surgical emergencies. In 1886 Reginald Heber Fitz described the classical signs and symptoms of acute appendicitis as a disease entity.^[1] Since then acute appendicitis has remained the common acute surgical condition of the abdomen in all ages and of course, a common disease in surgical practice. Even after elapse of more than 120 years since its first description this common surgical disease continues to remain a diagnostic problem and can baffle best of the clinician.^[2] Delay in diagnosis definitely increases the morbidity, mortality and cost of

treatment. In equivocal cases, however, aggressive surgical approach as “when in doubt take it out” has resulted in increased white appendectomies. Presentations of acute appendicitis can mimic variety of acute medical and surgical abdominotheracic conditions. Early diagnosis is a primary goal to prevent morbidity and mortality in acute appendicitis. Another important issue is decreasing the white appendectomy rate.^[2]

Different scoring systems are there in use to diagnose appendicitis. Like - Alvarado scoring system, Modified Alvarado scoring system, Tzanakis scoring system, RIPASA scoring system, and Anderson scoring system.^[3,4] These diagnostic scoring systems

has been developed in an attempt to improve the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis.^[2]

The most prominent of scoring system developed by Alfredo Alvarado in 1986.^[3] He introduced a criterion for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, was based on a retrospective analysis of 305 patients with abdominal pain suspicious of appendicitis.

The classical Alvarado score included a left shift of neutrophil maturation along with other parameters for assessment. Authors omitted this parameter which is not routinely available in many laboratories, and produced a Modified score.^[3,4]

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic effectiveness of the Modified Alvarado scoring system in clinical practice for diagnosis of acute appendicitis by correlating the score with operative and histopathological findings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted on 100 patients, hospitalized with abdominal pain, suggestive of acute appendicitis on the basis of modified Alvarado scoring system and were subsequently operated, from January 2024 to December 2024 in Department of

General Surgery, K R Hospital, Mysuru, Karnataka, India.

Inclusion criteria

All cases clinically suspected to be suffering from acute appendicitis between 12-60 years of age with Modified Alvarado score 6 or more than 6.

Exclusion criteria

- All patients with palpable lump in right iliac fossa.
- All patients with previous history of chronic and recurrent appendicitis.
- Children below 12 years of age and adults above 60 years.

All patient who was selected between 12 to 60 years of age and either sex was evaluated on the basis of Modified Alvarado Score and a predetermined pro forma, which included, a detailed history from patient and parent's clinical examination, laboratory investigation, and ultrasonography.

"Modified Alvarado score" was calculated by using following chart in all 100 patients which consists of three symptoms, three signs and one laboratory finding.

Modified Alvarado score.

Symptoms / Sign / Investigation	Score	
	Yes	No
Migration of pain to right iliac fossa	1	0
Anorexia	1	0
Nausea / vomiting	1	0
Tenderness over right iliac fossa	2	0
Rebound tenderness over right iliac fossa	1	0
Temperature > 37.3°C	1	0
Leukocytosis > 10 X10 ⁹ /L	2	0

On the basis of Modified Alvarado score, all patients were classified in to three categories.

- Category (1) Patients with Modified Alvarado score 6
- Category (2) Patients with Modified Alvarado score 7-8
- Category (3) Patients with Modified Alvarado score 9

Category (1) patients are possible case of acute appendicitis and those patients operated only after positive ultrasonography report of acute appendicitis. Category (2) patients were considered to have probable diagnosis of acute appendicitis but not convincing enough to warrant immediate surgery and these patients were monitored at 4 hourly intervals and if within 24 hours of observation their score become constant or increased irrespective of their

ultrasonography report, appendectomy will be performed. All patients with score of 9, Category (3) were considered to have definite diagnosis of acute appendicitis and were considered for appendectomy in first instance.

All patients have undergone Ultrasonography of the abdomen primarily to rule out other conditions mimicking acute appendicitis.

All specimens of removed appendix were subjected for histopathological examination according to which the diagnosis was confirmed. Data thus collected was analyzed.

Sensitivity, specificity, predictive value for positive and negative test and accuracy of each diagnostic modality were worked out and compare with histopathological outcome.

RESULTS

Highest incidence of appendicitis 47% observed in the age group of 20 to 29 years of age, which accounts for 47% of total number of cases. Majority of the patients in the study were male (77%) Male: female ratio was 3.35:1 [Table 1].

Table 1: Profile of subjects in the study

	Age Groups (Yrs.)	No. of cases	Percentage
Age	<20	28	28%
	20-29	47	47%
	30-39	8	8%
	40-49	13	13%
	>50	4	4%
Gender	Male	77	77%
	Female	23	23%

Abdominal pain was the most common symptom, which was seen in 100% cases, two other major

complaints were fever and vomiting i.e., 87% and 78% respectively [Table 2].

Table 2: Clinical features

Symptom	No. of cases	Percentage %
Abdominal pain	100	100%
Fever	87	87%
Vomiting	78	78%
Loss of appetite	06	6%

Table 3: Modified Alvarado score of the patients

Modified Alvarado score	No. of cases	Percentage
6	18	18%
7	19	19%
8	30	30%
9	33	33%

In the present study most of the patients have Modified Alvarado score 8 and 9, i.e. 30 patients of score 8 and 33 patients of score 9.

The sensitivity of ultrasonography was 94.68%, positive and negative predictive values were 95.70% and 28.57% and accuracy was 91% [Table 4].

Table 4: Correlation of USG findings with histopathology report

	HPR positive	HPR negative	Total
USG positive	89 (94.7%)	4 (66.7%)	93
USG negative	5 (5.3%)	2 (33.3%)	7
Total	94	6	100

In patients with Modified Alvarado score of 7-8, the Positive predictive value was 98.9% and Negative predictive value was 27.8%. Sensitivity was 86.1%, Specificity was 83.3% and Accuracy was 79.1%. In patients with Modified Alvarado score of 9, the

Positive predictive value was 100.0 % and Negative predictive value was 8.9 %. Sensitivity was 35.1%, Specificity was 100.0% and Accuracy was 39.0% [Table 5]

Table 5: Correlation of modified Alvarado score with histopathological report

Modified Alvarado score	Histopathology report		Total
	Positive	Negative	
6	13 (72.2%)	5 (27.8%)	18 (100%)
7-8	48 (98%)	1 (2%)	49 (100%)
9	33 (100%)	0	33 (100%)
Total	94	6	100

DISCUSSION

Clinical examination, laboratory parameters and various scoring system were the only diagnostic tool of acute appendicitis for many years. Perforation rate was high, as well as the white appendectomies. Following the introduction of USG and CT scan in last three decade, the rate of white appendectomies has decreased, but the perforation rate is remained high (22% to 62%).^[5-7] All these parameters can be helpful in the diagnosis of appendicitis, but no single test is definitive.^[1,5-7]

In present study, maximum incidence of appendicitis was seen in the age group of 20 to 29 years, which is 47%. In the study conducted by authors maximum incidence of acute appendicitis was also seen in age of 21 to 30 years.^[2,8,9]

In present study we observed that the acute appendicitis has male preponderance and the male, female ratio is 3.35:1. Other studies have also suggested the male preponderance of disease, Carditello A shown male, Female ratio is 3:1 and Ko Ys Lin Lh male, female ratio was 10:1, studies shows also had similar observations.^[10-13]

In present study the most common symptom of acute appendicitis was pain in right iliac fossa (100%) followed by fever (87%) and vomiting (78%). The results are comparable with the series of, where the most common symptom is pain in right iliac fossa followed by fever and vomiting.^[14-17]

In present study the rate of total white appendectomy was 6%. In the study, white appendectomy rate was 11.49%, it was reported white appendectomy rate is 16%, white appendectomy rate was 15.6%.^{2,8,18} The reason for variation in rate of white appendectomy is small sample size in present observational study as compared to large sample size (more than 200 cases) in all above studies.

In present study the sensitivity of ultrasonography is 94.68%, as compared to sensitivity of other study of authors, in their study sensitivity was 89%, in the study of, sensitivity of ultrasonography was 98.33%, in the study of, sensitivity of ultrasonography was 94.7%. All these studies show that the sensitivity of ultrasonography is comparable with present study.^[9,19,20]

In present study, patients with Modified Alvarado score 7-8, have positive and negative predictive value is 98.9% and 27.8% respectively. The sensitivity and specificity is 86.1% and 83.3% respectively. Patients with Modified Alvarado score 9, have positive and negative predictive value is 100.0% and 8.9% respectively. The sensitivity and specificity are 35.1% and 100.0% respectively. In the study the sensitivity of Modified Alvarado score was 93% in patients with score more than 7 and 67% in patients with score less than 7.4 In the series reported sensitivity of 95% in patient with score of 8-9, and 78% in patients with score of 5-7.2 Study shows that the sensitivity was 98.44% and specificity was 94.4% for Modified Alvarado Scoring.9 Study of all patients with modified Alvarado score 7 or more had 100% specificity.^[21] The positive and negative predictive values of the Alvarado score were 77.6% and 52.4%.^[22] The sensitivity was 93% and a specificity was 83%.^[23]

CONCLUSION

The study highlights that appendicitis predominantly affects young adults, with a higher incidence in males. Abdominal pain emerged as the most consistent clinical feature, followed by fever and vomiting. The Modified Alvarado score proved to be a valuable diagnostic tool, with most patients presenting scores indicative of probable appendicitis. Ultrasonography demonstrated high sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy in detecting appendicitis when compared to histopathological findings. Furthermore, the Modified Alvarado score showed a strong correlation with histopathological confirmation, particularly at higher scores, underscoring its clinical utility in decision-making. These findings support the effectiveness of combining clinical scoring systems

with imaging modalities to improve diagnostic precision and guide appropriate surgical intervention in suspected cases of appendicitis.

REFERENCES

- O'Connel PR. The vermiform appendix. In Norman S. Williams, Christopher J.K.B, and P. Ronan O'Connel eds: Bailey's and Love's short practice of Surgery, 26th Ed. NW: Taylor and Francis; 2013:1199-1214.
- Talukder DB. Siddiq AKMZ Modified Alvarado Scoring System in the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis. JAFMC Bangladesh. 2009;5(1):18-20.
- Alvarado A. A practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Ann Emerg Med. 1986;15(5):557-64.
- Kalan M, Talbot D, Cunliffe WJ, Rich AJ. Evaluation of the modified Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis: a prospective study. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 1994;76(6):418-9.
- Cosdon RE, Telford GL. Appendicitis Chap X 51, Text Book of Surgery. 19th Edition. David C. Sabiston. Elsevier Saunders; 2012:1279-1293.
- Harold Ellis. Appendix chap 31 Maingot's Abdominal Operations Vol 12th Edition. New York McGraw-Hill; 2013:623-647.
- Schwartz SI. Appendix, in Schwartz S I, Shires GT, Spencer FC eds: Principles of Surgery, 9th Ed. New York McGraw-Hill; 1989;2:1315.
- Malik KA, Sheikh MR. Role of modified Alvarado score in acute appendicitis. Pak J Surg. 2007;23:251-4.
- Gujar N, Mudhol S, Choudhari RK, Sachin DM. Determination of Sensitivity and Specificity of Modified Alvarado Score and Ultrasonography in Patients with Acute Appendicitis. JKIMSU. 2015;4(2):89-99.
- Carditello A, Bartolotta M, Bonavita G, Lentini B, Sturniolo G. Acute appendicitis: clinico-diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. Chirurgia italiana. 1985 Apr;37(2):174-82.
- Ko YS, Lin LH, Chen DF. Laboratory aid and ultrasonography in the diagnosis of appendicitis in children. Zhonghua Minguo xiao er ke yi xue hui za zhi [Journal]. Zhonghua Minguo xiao er ke yi xue hui. 1995;36(6):415-9.
- Al-Omran M, Mamdani MM, McLeod R. Epidemiologic features of acute appendicitis in Ontario, Canada. Canadian J of Sur. 2003 Aug;46(4):263.
- Nudeh YJ, Sadig N, Ahmadaniya AY. Epidemiological Features, seasonal variation and false positive arte of appendicitis in Sharhr-e-Rey, Tehran Int J Surg. 2007 Apr;5(2):95-8.
- Lewis FR, Holcroft JW, Boey J, Dunphy JE. Appendicitis: a critical review of diagnosis and treatment in 1,000 cases. Archives of Surgery. 1975 May 1;110(5):677-84.
- Golledge J, Tomas AP. Assessment of peritonism in appendicitis. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 1996 Jan;78(1):11-4.
- Alvarez JS, Fernández RL, Marín JL, Gil JL, Moreno MA. Diagnostic validity of signs and symptoms defining the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Gastroenterologia y hepatologia. 1997 Jan;20(1):11-6.
- Andersson RE, Hugander A, Thulin AJ. Diagnostic accuracy and perforation rate in appendicitis: association with age and sex of the patient and with appendicectomy rate. The European journal of surgery= Acta chirurgica. 1992 Jan;158(1):37-41.
- Khan I, Rehman A. Application of Alvarado scoring system in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2005;17:41-4.
- Pruekprasert P, Maipang T, Geater A, Apakupakul N, Ksuntifij P. Accuracy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis by comparing serum C-reactive protein measurements, Alvarado score and clinical impression of surgeons. J Med Assoc Thai. 2004 Mar;87(3):296-303.
- Douglas CD, Macpherson NE, Davidson PM, Gani JS. Randomised controlled trial of ultrasonography in diagnosis of acute appendicitis, incorporating the Alvarado score. BMJ. 2000 Oct 14;321(7266):919-22.
- Horzić M, Salamon A, Kopljarić M, Skupnjak M, Cupurdija K, Vanjak D. Analysis of scores in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in women. Coll Antropol. 2005;29:133-8.
- Shrivastava UK, Gupta A, Sharma D. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Trop Gastroenterol. 2004;25:184-6.
- Broek VWT, Bijnen BB. Scoring and diagnostic laparoscopy for suspected appendicitis. Eur J Surg. 2002;168(6):349-54.